

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/686,433	10/14/2003	W. Todd Daniell	190250-1580	7279	
38823 7590 (MIJ20098 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP/ AT&T Delaware Intellectual Property, Inc.			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			LAI, MIC	LAI, MICHAEL C	
600 GALLER SUITE 1500	IA PARKWAY, S.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, GA 30339-5994			2157		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/686,433 DANIELL, W. TODD Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MICHAEL C. LAI 2157 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.10.11.18-20 and 25-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,10,11,18-20 and 25-27 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/2008

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/686,433

Art Unit: 2157

#### DETAILED ACTION

This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 07/21/2008.

## Response to Amendment

The examiner has acknowledged the amended claims 10-11, 19-20, 25, new claims 26-27, and cancelled claims 3-9, 12-17, 21-24. Claims 1-2, 10-11, 18-20, and 25-27 are pending.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 10-11, 18-20, and 25-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Objections

 Claim 27 is objected to because of the following informalities: "claim 19" in line 1 should be "claim 26". Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 10-11 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2157

Claims 10-11 recite the limitation "computer-readable storage medium" in line 1, which is not described in the specification. The examiner suggests using the phrase "storage medium" specifically in the specification for means that can store the program (see lines 3-8 on page 27).

Claim 26 recites the limitation "in response to determining that the sender of the email message is currently present at an IM account, automatically, without user input, launching an IM session with the sender" in lines 6 and 7. The limitation is not described at all in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Claims 26-27 recite the limitation of "computer-readable medium..." that is described in lines 3-8 on page 27 of original specification as "In the context of this document, a "computer-readable medium" can be any means that can contain, store, communicate, **propagate**, or transport the program for use by or in connection with the instruction execution system, apparatus, or device. The computer-readable medium can be, for example but not limited to, an electronic, magnetic, optical, electromagnetic, infrared, or semiconductor system, apparatus, device, or propagation medium." A propagation medium includes signals. As such, the claims cover embodiments directed to signals, per se. These claims are being rejected as non-statutory as directed to a

Art Unit: 2157

form of energy rather than a patent-eligible machine, manufacture, process or composition of matter. The examiner suggests separating computer-readable storage medium from computer-readable transmission (or propagation) medium in the specification. Transmission medium is non-statutory.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1-2, 10-11, 18-20, and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Malik (US 2004/0078445 A1, hereinafter referred to as Malik).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claim 1, Malik discloses a method for handling digital messages, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2157

determining whether a sender of a received email message is currently present at an Instant Messaging (IM) account [para. 0049, lines 1-6];

in response to determining that the sender of the received email message is currently present at an IM account, automatically launching an IM session with the sender [para. 0049, lines 14-27].

Regarding claim 2, Malik further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein determining whether a sender of a received email message is currently present at an IM account includes extracting contact information from the email message, the contact information being associated with the sender of the received email message [para. 0089, lines 4-9].

Claims 10-11 are of the same scope as claims 1-2 respectively. They are rejected for the same reason as for claims 1-2 respectively.

Claim 18 is of the same scope as claim 1. It is rejected for the same reason as for claim 1.

Claims 19-20 are of the same scope as claims 1-2 respectively. They are rejected for the same reason as for claims 1-2 respectively.

Claim 25 is of the same scope as claim 1. It is rejected for the same reason as for claim 1.

Claims 26-27 are of the same scope as claims 1-2 respectively. They are rejected for the same reason as for claims 1-2 respectively.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/686,433

Art Unit: 2157

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Appelman et al. (US 6,912,564 B1) discloses a system for instant messaging the sender and recipients of an e-mail message.

Jenniges et al. (US 6,978,136 B2) discloses a method for automatically initiating a chat session between a user and personnel in the customer support department when the controller indicates that the customer support department is available.

Stewart et al. (US 7,317,928 B2) discloses exposing instant messenger presence information on a mobile device.

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

In the case of amending the claimed invention, Applicant is respectfully requested to indicate the portion(s) of the specification which dictate(s) the structure relied on for proper interpretation and also to verify and ascertain the metes and bounds of the claimed invention

Art Unit: 2157

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Lai whose telephone number is (571) 270-3236. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael C. Lai 31JUL 2008

/Yves Dalencourt/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2157